

RIPEET CALL FOR SOLUTIONS

Evaluation criteria

Criteria	Explanation	Marks
Solution excellence: Fit with the particular energy need, potential impact and achievability		
1. Soundness, innovativeness and excellence of the concept	Extent that proposed work is ambitious, has innovation potential, and is beyond the state of the art, enhancing innovation capacity and the integration of new knowledge & the implementation of such innovations to the market	0 to 10
2. Solution fit to the need	Alignment of the solution with the identified need	0 to 10
3. Objectives and success indicators	Clarity and achievability of the objectives and relevance of the indicators	0 to 10
Work Plan viability		
4. Credibility of the proposed Work Plan	The work plan is realistic and fits with the RIPEET calendar	0 to 10
5. Co-creation process	Relevance of the co-creation work and importance given to the co-creation work (objectives and means employed)	0 to 10
6. Risk assessment	The applicant has adequately assessed project risk and proposed appropriate mitigation strategies	0 to 10
7. Experience and skillset of the team	Appropriateness of the team	0 to 10
Sustainability and scaling options		
8. Market description and/or scaling and dissemination	Expected market potential and/or strategy for scaling and dissemination of the solution	0 to 10
9. Sustainability and engagement	Potential sustainability of the solution and engagement and interest in the development of the solution	0 to 10
Responsible Research and Innovation*		
10. Anticipation	Does the solution relate to the anticipated vision and transition pathway?	0 to 10



11. Inclusion	Does the solution approach marginalised stakeholders?	0 to 10
12. Reflexivity	Does the solution provide explicit space for reflection on goals and strategies?	0 to 10
13. Responsiveness	Does the solution include measures to respond to changing regional contexts?	0 to 10
*The score obtained in this section will only be taken into account in case two or more solutions get the same score. In that case, the score received in this section will be used as tiebreaker.		

The Selection Criteria will be scored from 0 to 10 following the description established in the table below. **If 1 criteria is scored 3 or lower the application will be deemed not eligible.**

Point scale	Explanation
0-1	Insufficient: even basic criteria were only partially met
2-3	Poor: criteria were met inadequately
4-5	Adequate: criteria were just met
6-7	Good: criteria were met in essence
8-9	Very Good: correspond fully to the defined criteria
10	Excellent: criteria were met above expectations

CONTACTS

RIPEET Team in Ostrobothnia (Merinova, University of Vaasa, Regional Council of Ostrobothnia),
ripeet@merinova.fi

Document contact: Tauno Kekäle, Merinova